¹□ EECS 122, Lecture 16

Kevin Fall kfall@cs.berkeley.edu

² Link Costs and Metrics

- Routing protocols compute shortest/cheapest paths using some optimization criteria
- Choice of criteria has strong effect on path selection results
- Metrics are either static or dynamic

3 Static Cost Metrics

- hop-count (easy to compute)
 - reasonable for homogeneous links
 - treats DS3 (45Mb/s) & dialup (56Kb/s) equal
- manually-assigned scalars: administrator can "tweak" the metrics, but still doesn't adapt to congestion, and doesn't scale well [difficult to manage]

⁴ □ Traffic-Sensitive Metrics

- Original ARPAnet scheme:
 - cost proportional to queue on outgoing link
 - problems: at higher loads, fine grain measuring of queue lengths during traffic spikes could trigger frequent re-routing
 - high-cost links never used
 - high cost used to predict future high cost (but not true once traffic re-routed!)
 - no damping on changes in cost -> oscillation

Traffic-Sensitive Metrics

- Modified ARPAnet scheme:
 - metrics f(link capacity, output queue length)
 - capacity dominates at low load
 - link costs normalized to hop-count units
 - dynamic range of link costs limited (from 127:1 to 3:1)
 - only delta of 1/2-count on each change
 - nearly eliminated oscillations, even at load

Routing Hierarchy

- Routing overhead scales with the number of nodes
 - for N nodes
 - · shortest path is about O(N log N) algorithm
 - routing table size is O(N)
- So to scale, routing is built into a hierarchy [general principal]

⁷ Hierarchical Internet

Bierarchical Internet Routing

- Intra-Domain Routing Protocols
 - IGP's (Interior Gateway Protocols)
 - examples: OSPF, RIP, IGRP, EIGRP, IS-IS
- Inter-Domain Routing Protocols
 - EGP's (Exterior Gateway Protocols)
 - examples: BGP, EGP (old)

Intra- and Inter- Domain Routing Protocols

- Notion of routing "domain" or "area"; also called Autonomous System (AS)
- Routing computation takes place within AS, and is typically summarized at edges

 IGP selection up to administrators of each enterprise; EGP usually standardized

Routing Information Protocol (RIP1 and RIP2)

- distance-vector protocol using hop-count as metric
- infinity value is 16 hops
- announcers broadcast (or multicast) DVs every 30 seconds; time out in 180 sec
- split horizon with poisoned reverse
- RFC 1058 (RIPv1); RFC 1388 (RIPv2)

□ □ RIP History

- DV schemes were used early on in the ARPAnet (1969) and Cyclades (70's)
- RIP used within Xerox on PUP/XNS
- Internet RIP based on XNS-RIP, made available in Berkeley UNIX as "routed"

12 RIP Version 1

- Routing destinations are 32-bit hosts, networks, or subnets
- · Routers first look for classes A, B, or C
- If subnet+host part is NULL, represents a network route, otherwise a subnet or host route
- Uses a (static) subnet mask applied to all entries

□ RIP Version 1 Support

- supports point-to-point links and multi-access LANs (e.g. Ethernet)
- RIP packets encapsulated in single UDP packets

(not reliable, up to 512 bytes)

- DV tables sent using broadcast every 30s (or more often for triggered updates)
- · updates time-out after 180s if not refreshed

¹⁴ RIP Routing Table Structure

- Included in RIP-maintained routing table:
 - address of (net/subnet/host) destination
 - metric associated with destination
 - address of next hop router
 - recently-updated flag
 - several timers

¹⁵ □ RIP, Version 2

- compatible upgrade to RIP v1 including subnet routing, authentication, CIDR aggregation, route tags and multicast transmission
- RFC 2453 includes background and protocol definition [std rfc]

¹⁶ □ Subnet Support

- RIP v1 supports subnet routes only within the subnetted network (using single subnet mask)
- by including subnet mask with routes, allows for subnet knowledge outside subnet
- more convenient partitioning using variable-length subnets

□ Authentication

 RIPv1 is completely not secure; anyone can act as a router just by sending RIP1 messages (if cost zero, everyone uses!)

- RIPv2 supports generic notion of authentication, but only "password" is defined so far (not very secure)
- At least prevents accidents reasonably well

Route Tag and Next Hop ID

- Routing domain "tag" is available in each message to distinguish multiple domains running on same wire/subnet
- In addition, on multi-domain networks, the border router may specify an alternative next hop (not itself) if there is better nearby router in its domain to reach a particular destination

19 OSPF - Open Shortest Path

- · Link-state protocol specified by IETF
- Special features supported:
 - separation of hosts and routers
 - multi-access LANs
 - non-broadcast networks
 - hierarchies ("areas")
 - multi-path (equal cost)

20 Broadcast Networks

- With broadcast, multi-access networks, N(N-1)/2 adjacencies would be used
- Avoid this by electing "designated router"
- Broadcast network then represented as a virtual node in routing computation

²¹ Non-Broadcast Networks

· How to perform flooding without broadcast or

multicast support?

 Places burden for endpoint distribution on DR which re-sends to interested parties using unicast

²² Multiple Areas

- For large intranets, routing overhead can be undesirable; usually use hierarchy
- OSPF provides its own: areas
 - "top" area called 'backbone'
 - computation spans areas
 - area-border routers span multiple areas

23 External Routes

- OSPF provides the ability to import routes from other routing protocols
- Particularly useful where a router is both an IGP and EGP participant
- "Stub Areas" support the suppression of generalized external routes in favor of default (tables don't scale as size of Internet)

²⁴ Protocols within OSPF

- OSPF Communication directly on IP
- All packets contain version, packet type, length, router ID, area ID, checksum and authentication data
- · Really three protocols: hello, exchange, and flood

²⁵ ☐ The Hello Protocol

 Periodically, routers send hello messages including their priority, the current designated router and backup designated router, neighbors they have heard from

- priority affects (B)DR election on broadcast and non-broadcast networks (BDR is used for quick failover)
- only 2-way operational links ok

²⁶ DR and BDR Election

- election process runs continually with exchange of HELLO messages
- on any change, election process ensures convergence on new DR and BDR
- may have to change adjacencies to BDR (already computed) and begin computing for a new BDR

²⁷ Exchange Protocol

- Used for initial synchronization of LS database entries, and after partitions heal
- Exchange "database description packets" containing ID, advertising router, sequence number, checksum, and age
- Acks for sequence number generated; simple retransmission used

²⁸ ☐ Flooding Protocol

- Link state updates contain advertising router's ID, link state ID/type, and lollipop sequence space number
- ACKs to sending router, and continues flooding if sequence number is newer
- Retransmitted by sender until acknowledged

²⁹ IGRP - cisco routing protocol

- response to need for routing protocol superior to RIP prior to IETF OSPF standardization
- DV scheme with special features:
 - composite metrics
 - specialized loop detection
 - multipath routing
 - handling of default routes

30 ☐ IGRP Composite Metrics

- (D)elay, (B)andwidth, (R)eliability, (L)oad
 - also includes (H)op-count and path MTU (these are not used in routing computation)
- Delay: path length delay to each dest
- Bandwidth: min across links to each dest
- Reliability: measured (loss prob) [1..255]
- Load: measured (loading) [1..255]

31 Optimization Metric

- Start with observation that time to send is:
- But avail bandwidth affected by load:

32 Optimization Metric

 But with unreliable links, may require re-sends, so multiply this by a ratio expressing reliability:

33 Observations

 Measuring load should not be over very small time interval, or instabilities may arise In practice, several constants may be altered by the administrator to affect the relative weighting given to each component

34 ☐ Specialized Loop Detection

- uses split horizon and triggered updates, but not poisoned reverse
- extended with holddown (older) and route poisoning (newer)
- given triggered updates, loops normally form only due to transmission errors or slow update propagation

35 Holddown

- upon detection of a link failure, initiate "quarantine" period during which no updates for destination are accepted
- after at least 2 periods (180 s in IGRP), quarantine is removed and normal route selection resumes
- works, but guarantees that destination is unreachable even if other paths exist

³⁶ □ Route Poisoning

- newer versions of IGRP replace holddown with route poisoning
- observe increasing cost to a destination and assume a loop has formed
- only after re-confirmation of metric is path assumed to be usable (will happen up to 1 reporting period later--90secs for IGPT, much better than 3 minutes)

³⁷ EIGRP: Extended IGRP (cisco)

- none of the standard DV fixup schemes are entirely satisfactory
- use DUAL ("diffusion update algorithm") to remove transients [applies to DV & LS]
- enhance IGRP with DUAL [using incremental updates], variable length prefix masks and aggregation, and route tags

³⁸ □ Next Time...

- finish up EIGRP with discussion of DUAL algorithm
- exterior routing protocols (BGP), including CIDR aggregation