

1 ☐ EECS 122, Lecture 22

Today's Topics:

TCP Congestion Control

Fast Retransmit

Round-Trip Estimation & Time-out

Silly Window Syndrome

Kevin Fall, kfall@cs.berkeley.edu

2 ☐ TCP Slow Start

- Slow-start is a TCP behavior used to get to packet equilibrium
- Slow-start increases the congestion window *exponentially*, rather than linearly
- Why called slow-start then?
 - well, it is considerably slower than what used to happen (start based only on the receiver's advertised window)

3 ☐ TCP Slow Start

- For each ACK received, increase the congestion window by 1
- Results in cwnd pattern of: 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ...
 - takes time proportional to $\log W$ to reach window of W , [longer if ACKs delayed]

4 ☐ TCP Slow Start

5 ☐ TCP Slow Start

6 ☐ TCP Congestion Behaviors

- Two algorithms:

- slow-start: getting to equilibrium
- congestion avoidance: searching for new available bandwidth in path (and reacting to congestion)
- The two behaviors are mutually exclusive for any single point in time, but each TCP implements both:
 - establish an operating point to switch between the two algorithms (*ssthresh*)

7 ☐ Slow-Start Threshold (*ssthresh*)

- Need a way to determine whether the TCP should do slow-start or congestion avoidance
- New variable (*ssthresh*):
 - if $cwnd \leq ssthresh$, do slow-start
 - if $cwnd > ssthresh$, do congestion avoidance
- *ssthresh* is initialized to a large value, after a congestion signal, *cwnd* is divided in half, and *ssthresh* is set to *cwnd*

8 ☐ TCP Slow-Start & Congestion Avoidance

9 ☐ *ssthresh* and *cwnd* maintenance

- Congestion window is normally divided on congestion indications (packet dops), and grows linearly if above *ssthresh*
- *ssthresh* is reset to *cwnd* after it is reduced to keep a marker of the last operating point
- so, when do we ever enter slow-start after a connection has started?

10 ☐ Detecting Loss with TCP

- TCP uses lost packets as indicators of congestion
- Two methods

- timer expiring
- fast retransmit
- Fast retransmit:
 - because of cumulative ACK, out-of-order data received at receiver may generate *duplicate ACKs* (“dupacks”)

11 Duplicate ACKs

- We arrange for TCPs receiving out-of-order packets to respond immediately with one ACK per packet:
 - receiver gets: 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13
 - ACKs: 6, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8 [4 dupacks]
- Provides a hint to sender that packet 9 is probably missing at receiver and that 4 packets have arrived after 8 arrived
- [think about re-ordering!]

12 Fast Retransmit

- Heuristic at sender to trigger retransmissions w/out timeouts
- To avoid retransmitting due to small re-ordering, look for 3 DUPACKS
- So, on 3rd dupack for packet n , retransmit $n+1$, and send more if send window allows
- If only one packet lost, fills receiver’s “hole”, resulting in ACK for top of window

13 Fast Retransmit Example

14 Fast RTX Observations

- Fast retransmit can repair modest packet lost without requiring a retransmission timer to expire
- Because it requires 3 dupacks to fire, doesn’t work so well with small windows (because there won’t be

enough ACKs generated at the receiver)

- With large numbers of dropped packets, similar problem (not enough ACKs)

15 ☐ Congestion Action on Loss

- TCP has different behaviors, depending on the way it detects loss (RFC2001):
 - RTX timer expires:
 - $ssthresh = \text{MAX}(\text{MIN}(\text{win}, \text{cwnd})/2, 2)$
 - $\text{cwnd} = 1$ (initiates slow-start)
 - fast retransmit (fast recovery):
 - $ssthresh = \text{MAX}(\text{MIN}(\text{win}, \text{cwnd})/2, 2)$
 - $\text{cwnd} = ssthresh + 3$
 - each additional dupack increments cwnd by 1
 - fast recovery
 - ($\text{cwnd} = ssthresh$ on new ACK)

16 ☐ TCP Congestion Behavior (summary)

- Slow-start:
 - new connection, after idle time, after RTX timer expires
 - set $\text{cwnd}=1$, grow window exponentially
 - searches quickly for operating point
- Congestion avoidance:
 - normal operations, fast RTX/recovery
 - divide operating point in 1/2 after loss
 - searches slowly for new bandwidth

17 ☐ Setting TCP's RTX Timers

- Slow-start is invoked as a result of a timer expiring (resetting the world)
- Recall we need some way of setting this timer, but TCP must work both in local as well as very long delay environments

- Need a way to set the timer based on the connection's round-trip time:
 - how to measure the RTT?
 - how to set the RTX timer based on this?

18 ☐ Measuring the RTT

- Should be very simple:
 - when sending a packet, jot down the time
 - when receive the ACK for it, take the difference and call that the RTT
- Problem:
 - in TCP, no way to tell whether an ACK was for an original or retransmitted packet
 - called “acknowledgement ambiguity”

19 ☐ Karn's Algorithm

- Really two parts...
- To solve ACK ambiguity:
 - do not measure the RTT for segments that have been retransmitted (simple)
- On a timeout:
 - network is telling you it is having trouble
 - so, double RTX timer (up to 64x) on each subsequent timeout (64s max)

20 ☐ Estimating the RTT

- To estimate the connection's round-trip time, TCP uses an exponentially weighted moving average (like RED):

- Also called a low-pass filter

- Requires only 1 word of memory

21 EWMA Example

22 Properties of the EWMA

- Also sometimes expressed as:
 - This form is useful because it involves only one multiply (computationally expensive as compared with add or subtract)

23 TCP RTT Measurement

- Early TCPs used just the mean RTT estimate and set the timer to be 2x this estimate... the 2 accounting for some amount of variance
- In large-variance networks, though, this might not be enough. How to measure the variability of the RTT as well...?
- Perhaps the standard deviation...

24 Measuring Variability

- Most common measure of sample variability is sample variance S^2 [square of the standard deviation]:
 - Not very efficient for a protocol implementation due to the square root needed to get the sample std. deviation

25 ☐ Measuring Variability

- Alternative is to use the *mean deviation* (or *mean absolute deviation--MAD*):

- No need to square or take square root. Units are same as mean. Not commonly used because of less nice predictive properties than standard deviation.

26 ☐ Setting the TCP RTX Timeout

- TCP uses a combination of the mean and mean deviation estimators:

- $RTT = (1-g)*RTT + g * [rtt\ sample]$

- $D = (1-h)*D + h * |sample - RTT|$

- $g = 0.125 (2^{-3}), h = 0.25 (2^{-2})$

- efficiently implemented using fixed point arithmetic

- So, 95% of the time would expect:

- $(RTT-2D) < (actual\ RTT) < (RTT+2D)$ if normal

27 ☐ Setting the TCP RTX Timeout

- But RTTs don't seem to be Gaussian, so additional "fuzz" is used:

- $RTO = RTT + 4 * D$

- In addition, many TCPs use an imprecise clock that only "ticks" every 500ms. All RTT measurements (and timeouts) use this tick rate.

- Only a single timer maintained usually

28 ☐ Silly Window Syndrome

- Recall TCP is a window-based protocol

- What happens if a receiver with a small buffer advertises it, and sender quickly fills it with a small amount of data?
 - inefficient use of bandwidth by sending high-overhead “tinygrams”
- What to do?
 - want a way to “save up” enough to send, and do so only when “worth it”

29 ☐ Nagle’s Algorithm

- Purpose is to avoid inefficient use of bandwidth
- Sender operation:
 - buffer all user data if any unacknowledged data is outstanding
 - ok to send if all ACKd or have a full packet (MSS) size worth of data to send
- Receiver operation
 - ok to send if can open recv window enough

30 ☐ Receive Side SWS Avoidance

- Receiver resists advertising a window bigger than it is currently advertising (which might be zero) unless it can be increased by at least
 $\text{MIN}(\text{one MSS}, 0.5 * \text{receiver's available buffer})$
- Same bit of logic ensures that window shrinkage does not occur

31 ☐ Properties of Nagle Algorithm

- Applies only to small packets. For bulk data transfers, always have a full MSS to send
- Algorithm is self-clocking:
 - basically does Stop&Wait for small packets
 - on LAN, small RTT implies not much wait, but inefficient

- on WAN, large implies more wait, but more efficient on long links [where it counts most]

32 ☐ Impact of Nagle Algorithm

- When small delay is needed, Nagle algorithm can cause unwanted packet delays
- Applications can disable this algorithm:

```
int one = 1;
setsockopt(sock, IPPROTO_TCP, TCP_NODELAY, &one, sizeof(one))
```

33 ☐ Where we are so far with TCP

- Important algorithms
 - congestion avoidance
 - slow start
 - round-trip time estimation
 - Karn's timer backoff
 - silly window avoidance/Nagle
- We don't yet know about connection establishment (next time...)