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TCP Slow Start

- Slow-start is a TCP behavior used to get to packet equilibrium
- Slow-start increases the congestion window exponentially, rather than linearly
- Why called slow-start then?
  - well, it is considerably slower than what used to happen
    (start based only on the receiver’s advertised window)

TCP Slow Start

- For each ACK received, increase the congestion window by 1
- Results in cwnd pattern of: 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, ...
  - takes time proportional to log W to reach window of W,
    [longer if ACKs delayed]
- **slow-start**: getting to equilibrium
- **congestion avoidance**: searching for new available bandwidth in path (and reacting to congestion)

• The two behaviors are mutually exclusive for any single point in time, but each TCP implements both:
  - establish an operating point to switch between the two algorithms (ssthresh)

### Slow-Start Threshold (ssthresh)

• Need a way to determine whether the TCP should do slow-start or congestion avoidance

• New variable (ssthresh):
  - if \( cwnd \leq ssthresh \), do slow-start
  - if \( cwnd > ssthresh \), do congestion avoidance

• ssthresh is initialized to a large value, after a congestion signal, \( cwnd \) is divided in half, and ssthresh is set to \( cwnd \)

### TCP Slow-Start & Congestion Avoidance

#### ssthresh and cwnd maintenance

• Congestion window is normally divided on congestion indications (packet drops), and grows linearly if above ssthresh

• ssthresh is reset to \( cwnd \) after it is reduced to keep a marker of the last operating point

• so, when do we ever enter slow-start after a connection has started?

### Detecting Loss with TCP

• TCP uses lost packets as indicators of congestion

• Two methods
- timer expiring
- fast retransmit

• Fast retransmit:
  - because of cumulative ACK, out-of-order data received at receiver may generate duplicate ACKs ("dupacks")

11 Duplicate ACKs

• We arrange for TCPs receiving out-of-order packets to respond immediately with one ACK per packet:
  - receiver gets: 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13
  - ACKs: 6, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8 [4 dupacks]

• Provides a hint to sender that packet 9 is probably missing at receiver and that 4 packets have arrived after 8 arrived
  • [think about re-ordering!]

12 Fast Retransmit

• Heuristic at sender to trigger retransmissions w/out timeouts

• To avoid retransmitting due to small re-ordering, look for 3 DUPACKS

• So, on 3rd dupack for packet n, retransmit n+1, and send more if send window allows

• If only one packet lost, fills receiver’s “hole”, resulting in ACK for top of window

13 Fast Retransmit Example

14 Fast RTX Observations

• Fast retransmit can repair modest packet lost without requiring a retransmission timer to expire

• Because it requires 3 dupacks to fire, doesn’t work so well with small windows (because there won’t be
enough ACKs generated at the receiver)

- With large numbers of dropped packets, similar problem (not enough ACKs)

15 Congestion Action on Loss

- TCP has different behaviors, depending on the way it detects loss (RFC2001):
  - RTX timer expires:
    - ssthresh = MAX(MIN(win,cwnd)/2,2)
    - cwnd = 1 (initiates slow-start)
  - fast retransmit (fast recovery):
    - ssthresh = MAX(MIN(win,cwnd)/2,2)
    - cwnd = ssthresh + 3
    - each additional dupack increments cwnd by 1
      - fast recovery
      - (cwnd = ssthresh on new ACK)

16 TCP Congestion Behavior (summary)

- Slow-start:
  - new connection, after idle time, after RTX timer expires
  - set cwnd=1, grow window exponentially
  - searches quickly for operating point
- Congestion avoidance:
  - normal operations, fast RTX/recovery
  - divide operating point in 1/2 after loss
  - searches slowly for new bandwidth

17 Setting TCP’s RTX Timers

- Slow-start is invoked as a result of a timer expiring (resetting the world)
- Recall we need some way of setting this timer, but TCP must work both in local as well as very long delay environments
• Need a way to set the timer based on the connection’s round-trip time:
  - how to measure the RTT?
  - how to set the RTX timer based on this?

18 Measuring the RTT
• Should be very simple:
  - when sending a packet, jot down the time
  - when receive the ACK for it, take the difference and call that the RTT
• Problem:
  - in TCP, no way to tell whether an ACK was for an original or retransmitted packet
  - called “acknowledgement ambiguity”

19 Karn’s Algorithm
• Really two parts...
• To solve ACK ambiguity:
  - do not measure the RTT for segments that have been retransmitted (simple)
• On a timeout:
  - network is telling you it is having trouble
  - so, double RTX timer (up to 64x) on each subsequent timeout (64s max)

20 Estimating the RTT
• To estimate the connection’s round-trip time, TCP uses an exponentially weighted moving average (like RED):
  • Also called a low-pass filter
• Requires only 1 word of memory

**EWMA Example**

**Properties of the EWMA**

• Also sometimes expressed as:

• This form is useful because it involves only one multiply (computationally expensive as compared with add or subtract)

**TCP RTT Measurement**

• Early TCPs used just the mean RTT estimate and set the timer to be 2x this estimate...the 2 accounting for some amount of variance

• In large-variance networks, though, this might not be enough. How to measure the variability of the RTT as well...?

• Perhaps the standard deviation...

**Measuring Variability**

• Most common measure of sample variability is sample variance $S^2$ [square of the standard deviation]:

• Not very efficient for a protocol implementation due to the square root needed to get the sample std. deviation
Measuring Variability
• Alternative is to use the mean deviation (or mean absolute deviation--MAD):
  • No need to square or take square root. Units are same as mean. Not commonly used because of less nice predictive properties than standard deviation.

Setting the TCP RTX Timeout
• TCP uses a combination of the mean and mean deviation estimators:
  - \( RTT = (1-g) \times RTT + g \times \text{rtt sample} \)
  - \( D = (1-h) \times D + h \times |\text{sample} - RTT| \)
  - \( g = 0.125 \times (2^{-3}), h = 0.25 \times (2^{-2}) \)
  - efficiently implemented using fixed point arithmetic
• So, 95% of the time would expect:
  - \( (RTT-2D) < \text{actual RTT} < (RTT+2D) \) if normal

Setting the TCP RTX Timeout
• But RTTs don’t seem to be Gaussian, so additional “fuzz” is used:
  - \( \text{RTO} = RTT + 4 \times D \)
• In addition, many TCPs use an imprecise clock that only “ticks” every 500ms. All RTT measurements (and timeouts) use this tick rate.
• Only a single timer maintained usually

Silly Window Syndrome
• Recall TCP is a window-based protocol
• What happens if a receiver with a small buffer advertises it, and sender quickly fills it with a small amount of data?
  - inefficient use of bandwidth by sending high-overhead “tinygrams”
• What to do?
  - want a way to “save up” enough to send, and do so only when “worth it”

29 Nagle’s Algorithm
• Purpose is to avoid inefficient use of bandwidth
• Sender operation:
  - buffer all user data if any unacknowledged data is outstanding
  - ok to send if all ACKd or have a full packet (MSS) size worth of data to send
• Receiver operation
  - ok to send if can open recv window enough

30 Receive Side SWS Avoidance
• Receiver resists advertising a window bigger than it is currently advertising (which might be zero) unless it can be increased by at least \( \text{MIN(one MSS, 0.5 * receiver's available buffer)} \)
• Same bit of logic ensures that window shrinkage does not occur

31 Properties of Nagle Algorithm
• Applies only to small packets. For bulk data transfers, always have a full MSS to send
• Algorithm is self-clocking:
  - basically does Stop&Wait for small packets
  - on LAN, small RTT implies not much wait, but inefficient
- on WAN, large implies more wait, but more efficient on long links [where it counts most]

32 Impact of Nagle Algorithm

• When small delay is needed, Nagle algorithm can cause unwanted packet delays
• Applications can disable this algorithm:
  ```c
  int one = 1;
  setsockopt(sock, IPPROTO_TCP, TCP_NODELAY, &one, sizeof(one))
  ```

33 Where we are so far with TCP

• Important algorithms
  - congestion avoidance
  - slow start
  - round-trip time estimation
  - Karn’s timer backoff
  - silly window avoidance/Nagle
• We don’t yet know about connection establishment (next time...)